

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Rox 1459 Alexal time Virginia 22313-1450 www.fspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,331	06/28/2001	Anders Hejlsberg	MS180586.1	6467	
27195	7590 01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
AMIN & TUROCY, LLP			PHAM, CHRYSTINE		
	OR, NATIONAL CITY C NINTH STREET	ENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
.,	ND, OH 44114		2192		
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/30/200	DATE MAIL ED: 01/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/894,331	HEJLSBERG ET AL.	HEJLSBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chrystine Pham	2192		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 8-12, 16-20, 22-24, 26, 27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 

☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicants re-present the same argument, "Cseri does not disclose a reader that selectively pulls the XML item from the XML stream, based at least in part, on the parse request" (page 2, 2nd to last paragraph). As has been established in the final Office Action, Cseri (see paragraphs [0027]-[0029]) discloses several APIs for XML, such as SAX and DOM. It is submitted that SAX and DOM are well known in the art as XML parsing tools (i.e., parsers). As acknowledged by Applicants, DOM provides interfaces and methods for setting and getting different XML elements as part of the process of parsing the XML stream containing those XML elements. Although Cseri may not expressly disclose utilizing DOM, per se, as the parser 310, or parser 310a and their related parsing techniques, it should be understood that the parsers 310 and 310a are to have at least the same functionality provided by the DOM parser, that is to say, the parsers 310 and 310a anticipate "dividing (i.e., reading and parsing) the document into individual elements, attributes, and other pieces/tokens (i.e., items) and passes (i.e., pulls) these items of the XML document to the application piece by piece (i.e., selectively based on parse request) (see paragraphs [0057], [0068]). It should be noted that Applicants' Admission of Prior Art similarly discloses DOM as an XML parser, and that an XML parser is used to separate (i.e., parse) the document into individiual XML tokens, elements, attributes, and so on (see Background of the Invention, page 2, 2nd paragraph and page 4, 1st full paragraph). Thus, again, contrary to Applicants' argument, Cseri's XML parser clearly anticipates "a reader that selectively pulls the XML item from the XML stream based, at least in part, on the parse request".

TUAN DAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER